# Audits of Two P3 Projects in the Sea-to-Sky Corridor







### **About Our Office**

- The Auditor General is the independent auditor of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia
- Reports to the Legislative Assembly, not to the government of the day
- Conducts both financial audits and performance (or "value for money") audits



### BACKGROUND

### Audit of the Sea-to-Sky Highway Improvement Project Public-Private Partnership

- Sea-to-Sky Highway (95 km) connects communities between West Vancouver and Whistler
- Improvement project procured as P3 between Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MoT) and Sea-to-Sky Highway Investment Limited Partnership (S2S Partnership)





### BACKGROUND

- S2S to design, build and finance two-thirds of highway improvements and operate/maintain highway for 25 years
  - Highway construction improvements significantly complete by end of 2009
- MoT released project report entitled Achieving Value for Money – Sea-to-Sky Highway Improvement Project (Dec 2005)





### **AUDIT OBJECTIVES**

#### To conclude on whether MoT:

- effectively transferred design and construction risk to S2S
- developed appropriate contract governance and management methods, and, is effectively managing the concession agreement; and
- is able to demonstrate it is achieving its key objectives for the project



### Design and construction risk transfer

 We concluded that design and construction risks were effectively allocated between the Province and S2S, based on their respective ability to manage risks and costs

|                           |              | Partially |                |
|---------------------------|--------------|-----------|----------------|
| What We Evaluated Against | Not Achieved | Achieved  | Fully Achieved |

Objective: To conclude on the effectiveness of the Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure's management of the Sea-to-Sky Highway Concession Agreement in meeting its goals for the project.

Criterion 1: Was design and construction risk effectively transferred to the concessionaire?



### Contract management

- We concluded that the concession agreement, with a few exceptions, has been effectively managed
  - Recommendations 1, 2, and 3 address exceptions

|   |                         | Partially        |                      |
|---|-------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| What We Evaluated Against   | Not Achieved            | Achieved         | Fully Achieved       |
| Objective: To conclude on the effectiveness of the Ministry of Transp<br>Highway Concession Agreement in meeting its goals for the project.                           | ortation and Infrastruc | ture's managemer | nt of the Sea-to-Sky |
| Criterion 2: Has the ministry developed appropriate operations, maintenance and rehabilitation contract governance and management tools and managed them effectively? |                         | √                |                      |

# Accountability for achieving key objectives and measuring value for money

- We concluded that the ministry is not able to demonstrate its success to date of achieving its longterm objectives for the project (improved safety, reliability and capacity)
  - Recommendations 4 and 5 address this finding

|  |                          | Partially        |                      |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| What We Evaluated Against  | Not Achieved             | Achieved         | Fully Achieved       |
| Objective: To conclude on the effectiveness of the Ministry of Trans<br>Highway Concession Agreement in meeting its goals for the project.   | portation and Infrastruc | ture's managemer | nt of the Sea-to-Sky |
| Criterion 3: Can the ministry demonstrate that it is achieving the project's key objectives of improved safety, reliability and capacity through a well-designed and effectively managed contract? | √                        |                  |                      |

#### We recommend MoT:

- review and approve all changes in the P3
  ownership structure as allowed under the
  Sea-to-Sky Highway Concession Agreement
  for the remaining life of the project agreement
- ensure all key project documents are identified and accessible for the full term of the Sea-to-Sky Highway Concession Agreement

#### We recommend MoT:

3. review the Sea-to-Sky Highway Concession Agreement (and all other existing P3 contracts) to assess the financial impacts of switching from the PST to the HST, and recover any overpayments made



#### We recommend MoT:

- measure and monitor the achievement of its main objectives for the Sea-to-Sky Highway Improvement Project
- 5. report publicly on how well it is achieving its value-for-money and risk transfer objectives outlined in the Sea-to-Sky Highway Concession Agreement



### BACKGROUND

# Audit of the Britannia Mine Water Treatment Plant Project Public-Private Partnership

Britannia Mine located 50km north of Vancouver

on Sea-to-Sky Highway

 Remediation project led by Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations

 primary goal to reduce environmental impacts from mine



### BACKGROUND

 Britannia Mine Water Treatment Plant Project (BMWTP) a key component of remediation project

Procured as P3 with private partner

EPCOR Britannia Water Inc (EPCOR)

- Ministry of Forest, Lands and Natural Resource Operations manages P3
- Ministry of Environment water treatment plant regulator



### **AUDIT OBJECTIVES**

To assess whether provincial government objectives for BMWTP are being met:

- Did construction of the treatment plant meet the government's objectives?
- 2. Are the government's financial and environmental objectives being met?

#### **Audit Focus**

The focus of our audit was the Britannia Mine Water Treatment Plant Project public-private partnership.

However, because government is monitoring the long-term effectiveness of the plant by measuring environmental changes resulting from the Britannia Mine Remediation Project, we also found it necessary to review the progress of the broader remediation work.



### Construction of the water treatment plant

 We concluded that construction of the Britannia Mine Water Treatment Plant met government's objectives

| What We Evaluated Against  | Not Achieved            | Partially<br>Achieved | Fully Achieved   |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| <b>Objective:</b> To assess whether government's objectives for the Brita partnership are being met. | annia Mine Water Treatr | ment Plant Projec     | t public-private |
| Criterion 1: Did construction of the treatment plant meet the government's objectives?               |                         |                       | √                |

# Meeting of financial and environmental objectives

- We concluded that government's financial and environmental objectives with the plant are substantially being met
  - Recommendations 1, 2, and 3 address exceptions

|  |                         | Partially         |                   |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| What We Evaluated Against  | Not Achieved            | Achieved          | Fully Achieved    |
| <b>Objective:</b> To assess whether government's objectives for the Brita partnership are being met. | annia Mine Water Treati | ment Plant Projec | ct public-private |
| Criterion 2: Are the government's financial and environmental objectives being met?                  |                         | $\checkmark$      |                   |

# We recommend Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations:

 obtain periodic independent verifications of EPCOR Britannia Water Inc.'s water quality testing results over the remaining life of the project agreement



# We recommend Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations and Ministry of Environment:

 each develop and use a clear and concise method of maintaining records of key decisions about, interpretations of and amendments to the Britannia Mine Water Treatment Plant Project agreement and permits, respectively



# We recommend Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations:

3. work with the Ministry of Environment to develop long-term plans and timelines for meeting their goal of closure of the contaminated site under the *Environmental Management Act*.



### LOOKING AHEAD

- April 2013 follow-up report will review the ministries' implementation of our recommendations
- Office plans to conduct further performance audit work on:
  - the effectiveness of public-private partnerships;
  - contract management; and
  - performance reporting within the public service.

### Further Resources

#### Visit www.bcauditor.com to:

- read the full report
- subscribe to our e-notification service and be notified when we release a report
- see our "Work in Progress"
- learn more about the Office
- provide your feedback on this report and/or suggestions for further audits